## A Dilithium *o*-Phenylenediphosphide [Li(tmeda)]<sub>2</sub>[C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(PR)<sub>2</sub>-1,2] as a [C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(PR<sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>-1,2] or [C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(P<sup>2-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>-1,2] Synthon: the X-Ray Structures of C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>[PCH<sub>2</sub>CHOCMe<sub>2</sub>OCHCH<sub>2</sub>-*RR*]<sub>2</sub>-1,2 and [C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>{P(SiBu<sup>t</sup>-P)-1,2}]<sub>3</sub>( $\mu_3$ -SiBu<sup>t</sup>) (R = SiMe<sub>3</sub>, tmeda = [Me<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>)†

## Peter B. Hitchcock, Michael F. Lappert and Ping Yin

School of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK

The crystalline dilithium diphosphide [Li(tmeda)]<sub>2</sub>[C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(PR)<sub>2</sub>-1,2] 1 [R = SiMe<sub>3</sub>, obtained from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(PRR")<sub>2</sub>-1,2 (R' = R" = H or R, or R' = H and R" = R)] reacts with H<sub>2</sub>O, SiCl<sub>3</sub>Me, or [Zr( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>R<sub>2</sub>-1,3)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] to yield *rac*- and *meso*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(PHR)<sub>2</sub>-1,2, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(PR<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-1,2, or [Zr( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>R<sub>2</sub>-1,3)<sub>2</sub>{C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(PR)<sub>2</sub>-1,2}], respectively; whereas 1 with *RR*-Me<sub>2</sub>C(OCHCH<sub>2</sub>OTs)<sub>2</sub> (Ts = toluene-*p*-sulfonate) or SiBu<sup>t</sup>Cl<sub>3</sub> affords C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>[PCH<sub>2</sub>CHOCMe<sub>2</sub>OCHCH<sub>2</sub>-*RR*]<sub>2</sub>-1,2 or [C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>{P(SiBu<sup>t</sup>-P)-1,2}]<sub>3</sub>(µ<sub>3</sub>-SiBu<sup>t</sup>), respectively.

Crystalline  $[Li(tmeda)]_2[C_6H_4(PR)_2-1,2]$  (R = SiMe<sub>3</sub>) 1 has been obtained from three alternative starting materials:  $C_6H_4(PH_2)_2-1,2$ ;  $C_6H_4(PHR)_2-1,2$ ; or  $C_6H_4(PR_2)_2-1,2$ (Scheme 1).

Compound 1 has a similar structure to [Li(tmeda)]<sub>2</sub>[ $C_6H_4(PPh)_2$ -1,2],<sup>1</sup> both in the crystal (X-ray) and in solution (variable temperature <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} and <sup>7</sup>Li NMR spectra‡). In its reactivity, however, it displays a unique feature by virtue of the electrophilic character of the  $SiMe_3 (\equiv R)$ substituents. Thus, it acts as a  $[C_6H_4(P^{2-})_2-1,2]$  synthon (class I behaviour) in its reactions with a bis(toluene-*p*-sulfonate) (reaction viii in Scheme 1) or SiButCl<sub>3</sub> (reaction vi in Scheme 1), eliminating not only lithium but also the trimethylsilyl moiety. These reactions afforded C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>- $[PCH_2CHOCMe_2CHCH_2-RR]_2-1,2$  2 or  $[C_6H_4{P(SiBut-P)}-$ 1,2]<sub>3</sub>( $\mu_3$ -SiBu<sup>t</sup>) **3**, respectively; their X-ray structures are reported. Each compound is noteworthy: 2 for being a novel, readily accessible, optically active diphosphine derived (indirectly) from RR-tartaric acid, and 3 for having a P<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>4</sub> skeleton comprising a hexagonal pyramidal P<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>4</sub> nido-cluster with a (PSi)<sub>3</sub> base and an Si apex.

It is not inevitable that the  $\hat{SiMe}_3 (\equiv R)$  substituents of 1 be labile, as exemplified by its reactions with  $[Zr(\eta-C_5H_3R_2-$ 1,3)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>], H<sub>2</sub>O and SiClMe<sub>3</sub> (reactions vii, v and iv in Scheme 1, respectively) yielding  $[Zr(\eta-C_5H_3R_2-1,3)_2\{C_6H_4(PR)_2-1,3)_2\}$ 1,2]] 4, a mixture of meso- and rac-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(PHR)<sub>2</sub>-1,2 5, and  $C_6H_4(PR_2)_2$ -1.2, respectively with no loss of SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups. In this class II behaviour, 1 qualitatively resembles [Li(tmeda)]<sub>2</sub>[ $C_6H_4(PPh)_2$ -1,2].<sup>1,3</sup> It is likely, therefore, that in the class I reactions, elimination of LiX precedes that of SiMe<sub>3</sub>X. However, the reaction of equimolar portions of 1 and a bis(toluene-p-sulfonate) (viii in Scheme 1) has a complicated stoichiometry, because the products comprised 2LiOTs and demimolar portions of each of 2 and  $C_6H_4(PR_2)_2$ -1,2. It is noteworthy that SiMe<sub>3</sub>OTs was not isolated; we assume that the latter was an intermediate but was readily consumed by  $\frac{1}{2}(1)$  to yield  $\frac{1}{2}[C_6H_4(PR_2)_2-1,2] + LiOTs.$ 

We suggest that the reaction sequence leading to formation of the cluster 3 from 1 and SiBu<sup>t</sup>Cl<sub>3</sub> may well have involved successively 6 and its cyclotrimer 7; the final step would thus have been the ring-capping of 7 by its reaction with an equimolar portion of SiBu<sup>t</sup>Cl<sub>3</sub>. The overall stoichiometry for reaction vi of Scheme 1 is thus  $3(1) + 4SiBu<sup>t</sup>Cl_3 \rightarrow 7 + 6SiClMe_3 + 6LiCl$ .

Compounds 1–5 were identified by elemental analyses and multinuclear NMR spectra and, for crystalline 1–4, also by their X-ray structures; such data for 1 and 4 were similar<sup>4</sup> to those for their (PPh)<sub>2</sub>-analogues,  $^{1,3}$  and hence NMR spectral‡



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, (a)  $2LiBu^n$ ,  $Et_2O$ ,  $-35^{\circ}C$ , followed by (b)  $2SiMe_3Cl$ ,  $Et_2O$ ,  $-35^{\circ}C$ ; ii,  $2LiBu^n$ , 2tmeda, n-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>, 0°C; iii, 2HCl in  $Et_2O$ ,  $25^{\circ}C$ ; iv,  $2SiMe_3Cl$ , n-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>,  $25^{\circ}C$ ; v, H<sub>2</sub>O (excess), n-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>14</sub>,  $25^{\circ}C$ ; vi, SiBu<sup>t</sup>Cl<sub>3</sub>, THF,  $-78^{\circ}C$ ; vii, (a)  $[Zr\{\eta-C_5H_3(SiMe_3)_2\cdot 1, 3\}_2X_2]$  (X = Cl or Br), THF,  $-78^{\circ}C$ , followed by (b)  $25^{\circ}C$  for 16 h; viii, (-)-*RR*-MeC(OCHCH<sub>2</sub>OTs)<sub>2</sub>, THF,  $25^{\circ}C$ ; THF = tetrahydrofuran



<sup>†</sup> No reprints available.

<sup>‡</sup> Selected NMR data:  $\delta$  (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>CD<sub>3</sub>, 298 K unless otherwise stated; <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>31</sup>P, <sup>29</sup>Si, and <sup>7</sup>Li at 250, 62.90, 101.26, 46.70, and 97.21 MHz, respectively): 1 <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  -149.6 [septet at 263 K, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>31</sup>P<sup>7</sup>Li) 38.3 Hz], <sup>7</sup>Li{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  6.7 (t at 203 K). 2 <sup>1</sup>H  $\delta$  1.37 (q, Me), 1.64 and 1.73 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.37, 3.73, and 4.10 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH); <sup>13</sup>C  $\delta$  27.60 and 27.65 (Me), 20.63 and 24.64 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 83.76 (t) and 84.65 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>CH); <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  5.32. 3 <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  -164.7 (brt) and -66.45 (brt). 4 <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  37.3 (bs), and at 203 K -49.7(s) and 119.2(2); <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  -6.68 (s, CSiMe<sub>3</sub>), -6.68 [d, PSiMe<sub>3</sub>, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>29</sup>Si<sup>31</sup>P) 2.9 Hz]. 5 <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  -115.1 [<sup>3</sup>J(<sup>31</sup>P<sup>31</sup>P) 140.8 Hz] and -124.3 [<sup>3</sup>J(<sup>31</sup>P<sup>31</sup>P) 20 Hz]; <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H}  $\delta$  4.37 [t, J(<sup>31</sup>P<sup>2</sup>Si) 20.6 Hz] and 4.65 [t, J(<sup>31</sup>P<sup>29</sup>Si) 20.6 Hz].



Fig. <u>1 The X-ray</u> structure and atom labelling for  $C_6H_4[PCH_2CHOCMe_2CHCH_2-RR]_2-1,2$  **2** 

and X-ray§ details are provided here only for compounds 2 and 3.

The X-ray structures of compounds 2 and 3 are illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The molecule  $[C_6H_4{P(SiBu^t-P)-1,2}]_3(\mu_3-SiBu^t)$  3 has approximate non-crystallographic  $C_3$  symmetry. The Si<sub>4</sub>P<sub>6</sub> cage contains four fused P<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> rings each with a chair conformation. The P–Si bond lengths fall into

§ Crystal data for 2: C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>, M = 400.4, monoclinic, space group C2 (No. 5), a = 21.988(3), b = 10.561(7), c = 19.596(8) Å,  $\beta = 113.58(3)^\circ$ , U = 4170.5 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 8,  $D_c = 1.27$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 1728,  $\mu = 1.1$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

For 3:  $C_{34}H_{48}P_6Si_4$ , M = 755.0, triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$  (No. 2), a = 10.601(3), b = 10.680(2), c = 19.557(5) Å,  $\alpha = 78.93(2)$ ,  $\beta = 84.42(2)$ ,  $\gamma = 75.54(2)^\circ$ , U = 2101.3 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_c = 1.19$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 3.8$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 796.

For 2, 7335 reflections were measured, giving 4980 unique reflections ( $R_{int} = 0.04$ ), and 2578 with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  were used in the refinement. The rather high residuals R = 0.127.  $R_W = 0.167$  with all atoms isotropic and H atoms calculated are a result of the pseudosymmetry. There are two independent molecules almost related by an inversion centre, making the least-squares matrix ill-conditioned. We include this structure only to confirm the identity of the compound and not to make any comment on the details of the geometry.

For 3, 7433 unique reflections were measured, and 4547 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  were used in the refinement, giving R = 0.048,  $R_W = 0.060$ , with non-H atoms anisotropic and H atoms calculated.

For both structures intensities were measured for  $2 < \theta < 25^{\circ}$  on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer using monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å). Structure solution was by direct methods using SHELXS-86.<sup>5</sup>

Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.



Fig 2 The X-ray structure and atom labelling for  $[C_6H_4{P(SiBut-P)-1,2}]_3(\mu_3-SiBut)$  3

three distinct groups. As viewed in Fig. 2, the average P–Si bond length in the basal six-membered ring is 2.257(3) Å; the three bonds to Si(1) (the capping atom of the cage) are longer, averaging 2.277(3) Å; whilst the three *exo*-hexacyclic P–Si bonds [P(1)–Si(4), P(3)–Si(2) and P(5)–Si(3)] are shorter, averaging 2.245(3) Å.

These results show that  $[Li(tmeda)]_2[C_6H_4(PR)_2-1,2]$  (R = SiMe<sub>3</sub>) has an interesting duality of reactivity, behaving as either a  $[C_6H_4(PR^-)_2-1,2]$  or a  $[C_6H_4(P^2)_2-1,2]$  synthon, the latter mode being particularly unusual and potentially valuable.

We thank the Chinese Government and the British Council for a studentship for P.Y. and the SERC and the Leverhulme Trustees for support.

Received, 6th July 1992; Com. 2/03540E

## References

- 1 D. M. Anderson, P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, W.-P. Leung and J. A. Zora, J. Organomet. Chem., 1987, 333, C13.
- 2 K. Issleib, H. Schmidt and P. Bergmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1985, **529**, 216.
- 3 R. Bohra, P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert and W.-P. Leung, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 728.
- 4 Cf. Ping Yin, D.Phil. Thesis, University of Sussex, 1991.
- 5 G. M. Sheldrick, in *Crystallographic Computing 3*, ed. G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger and R. Goddard, Oxford University Press, London, 1985, pp. 175–189.